

**LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY
OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS**

200 W. Washington, Suite 301
Indianapolis, IN 46204
(317) 233-0696
<http://www.in.gov/legislative>

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

LS 6404

BILL NUMBER: SB 316

NOTE PREPARED: Dec 16, 2011

BILL AMENDED:

SUBJECT: Child Protection Registry.

FIRST AUTHOR: Sen. Head

FIRST SPONSOR:

BILL STATUS: As Introduced

FUNDS AFFECTED: ☒ **GENERAL**
☒ **DEDICATED**
FEDERAL

IMPACT: State & Local

Summary of Legislation: This bill has the following provisions:

- (1) Requires the Secretary of State (SOS) to establish the child protection registry.
- (2) Permits a person to register with the registry certain "contact points" that belong to a minor.
- (3) Defines a contact point to be: (a) an electronic mail address; (b) an instant message identity; (c) a mobile or other telephone number; (d) a facsimile number; or (e) a similar point of communication defined by rule by the SOS.
- (4) Permits a school or other institution that primarily serves minors to register its domain name with the registry.
- (5) Provides that a person may not send a communication to a contact point that has been registered for more than 30 days if the communication: (a) has the express purpose of advertising or promoting a product or service that a minor is prohibited by law from purchasing; or (b) contains or has the express purpose of advertising or promoting material that is harmful to minors, as described in Indiana law.
- (6) Requires persons who send such communications to check the registry (for a fee) to ensure compliance with the law.
- (7) Authorizes the SOS to adopt rules to administer the statute and the registry.
- (8) Provides for civil enforcement of the statute.

Effective Date: July 1, 2012.

Explanation of State Expenditures: *Establishment of a Child Protection Registry:* This bill will increase the expenditures of the Secretary of State (SOS) to provide a Child Protection Registry.

The Child Protection Registry would be available to all state residents who wish to register contact points (email addresses, instant messaging identity, mobile or other telephone numbers, or fax number) in much the same fashion as the federal “Do Not Call” registry. The purpose of the Child Protection Registry is to shield minors from material that is considered harmful to minors (e.g., advertising of adult products like alcohol and tobacco as well as pornography). Registration in the Child Protection Registry is to be free.

Businesses who wish to send adult communications would be required to scrub their contact lists of individuals who are registered in the state Child Protection Registry. Businesses would be charged \$3 per 100 contact points in the Registry (up to a maximum charge of \$72,000 per year, or 2.4 million contact points). It is assumed amounts collected from these fees would finance the costs of the Child Protection Registry.

Currently, the states of Michigan and Utah utilize a child protection registry which is contracted out to UnSpam, Inc. Contract expenditures for these states are not known.

Assuming (1) the SOS contracts out the requirement to UnSpam, Inc or another contractor and (2) the costs of maintaining the contract are paid for by fees collected from businesses that wish to send adult communications, this bill will not increase state expenditures to maintain the Registry.

Enforcement: This bill will increase the workload of the SOS to prosecute civil cases against businesses who violate the provisions of the Child Protection Registry.

Explanation of State Revenues: *Civil Court Fee Revenue:* If additional civil actions occur and court fees are collected, revenue to the state General Fund may increase. A civil costs fee of \$100 would be assessed when a civil case is filed, 70% of which would be deposited in the state General Fund if the case is filed in a court of record or 55% if the case is filed in a city or town court. In addition, some or all of the document storage fee (\$2), automated record keeping fee (\$5), judicial salaries fee (\$19), public defense administration fee (\$5), court administration fee (\$5), and the judicial insurance adjustment fee (\$1) are deposited into the state General Fund. Additional fees may be collected at the discretion of the judge and depending upon the particular type of case.

Civil Penalties: Under the bill, businesses who are determined to have sent adult communications to individuals who are listed on the Registry are subject to civil penalties. Enforcing the requirements of the bill would fall on the SOS. The SOS can seek injunction against violating businesses to enjoin future violations, as well as civil penalties of \$10,000 for the first violation and \$25,000 for each violation after the first violation. Each communication sent to a registered contact point in the Registry is considered a separate violation.

For example, if a business sent adult communication to 10 individuals listed in the Registry, approximately \$235,000 from civil penalties could be collected (\$10,000 for the first offense and \$25,000 for the remaining 9 offenses).

Enforcement: The SOS may recover reasonable costs of investigation and maintaining an action and attorney’s fees.

Explanation of Local Expenditures:

Explanation of Local Revenues: *Civil Court Fee Revenue:* If additional civil actions occur, local

governments would receive revenue from the following sources. The county general fund would receive 27% of the \$100 civil costs fee that is assessed in a court of record. Cities and towns maintaining a law enforcement agency that prosecutes at least 50% of its ordinance violations in a court of record may receive 3% of court fees. If the case is filed in a city or town court, 20% of the court fee would be deposited in the county general fund and 25% would be deposited in the city or town general fund. Additional fees may be collected at the discretion of the judge and depending upon the particular type of case.

State Agencies Affected: SOS.

Local Agencies Affected: Civil courts.

Information Sources: Michigan State Legislature; Utah State Legislature.

Fiscal Analyst: Bill Brumbach, 232-9559.